

**GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
'Kamat Towers', Seventh Floor, Patto, Panaji – Goa

---

**Appeal No. 91/2020/SIC-I**

Shri Jawaharlal T. Shetye  
H.N. 35/A, Ward No, 11,,  
Near Sateri Temple, Khorlim,  
Mapusa-Goa -403 507.

....Appellant

V/s

1)The Public Information Officer (PIO),  
Mapusa Police Station,  
Mapusa, Bardez-Goa .

2)First Appellate Authority (FAA),  
Superintendent of Police(North),  
Office of the S.P.(North),  
Alto, Porvorim, Bardez-Goa.

.....Respondents

**CORAM: Ms. Pratima K. Vernekar, State Information Commissioner**

Filed on:05/06/2020  
Decided on:15/07/2020

**ORDER**

1. The brief facts leading to second appeal as putforth by the Appellant, Shri J.T. Shetye are as under :-

a)The Appellant in exercise of his right under sub section (1) of section 6 of RTI Act, 2005 had sought for certain information on 9 points as stated therein the said application mainly pertaining to call letter dated 3/1/2019 issued to Vanita Shetye directing her to remain present at Mapusa Police Station on 4/1/2019 at 10.00 am in respect of application inquiry filed against Mr. Umesh Zarmekar .

b) The said information was sought by the Appellant on 23/1/2020 from the Respondent No.1 Public Information Officer of the office of Mapusa Ploce Station at Mapusa-Goa.

c)The copy of the call letter dated 3/1/2019 addressed to Mrs Vanita Shetye by LPSI Vibha Volvoikar of Mapusa Police Station was also enclosed to the said RTI application .

d) It is the contention of the Appellant that his above application filed in terms of sub section (1) of section 6 was responded by the Respondent No. 1 Public Information Officer (PIO) on 13/2/2020 thereby furnishing him the information.

e) It is the contention of the Appellant that he was not satisfied with the said information furnished to him hence he preferred first appeal interms of section 19(1) of the RTI Act on 11/3/2020 before the SP North at Alto Porvorim Goa being First Appellate Authority .

f) It is the contention of the Appellant that that after hearing both the parties vide order dated 20/5/2020 directed the Respondent PIO to furnish the station Diary extract dated 4/1/2020 pertaining to Appellant free of cost within a week. He further contented that the information to his queries at serial no. 2,3,5,6 an 9 has been denied to him without any justification.

2. In the above background the Appellant being aggrieved by action of both the Respondents has approached this commission on 5/6/2020 in this second appeal as contemplated u/s 19(3) of the Act on the grounds raised in the memo of appeal with the contention that the complete information is still not furnished and seeking order from this Commission to direct the Respondent PIO to furnish the information at serial No. 2,3,5,6.and 9 alongwith the copy of the station Diary extract dated 4/1/2020 as also for invoking penal provisions as against Respondent PIO and also direction to comply section 4 of RTI Act, 2005 .

3. Matter was taken up on board and was listed for hearing and accordingly notices were issued to the parties, pursuant to which Appellant was present in person. Respondent PIO Shri Gajanand Prabhu Desai was present. Respondent No. 2 opted to remain absent .

4. Reply filed by Respondent PIO on 6/7/2020 alongwith the enclosure thereby providing copies of the documents /information.
5. On the subsequent date of hearing the Appellant submitted that the requested information sought by him is now furnished to him as per his satisfaction and hence he is not insisting for any penal action against the Respondent PIO. Accordingly he endorsed his say on the memo of Appeal .
6. Since the information have now been provided to the Appellant as per his requirement, I am of the opinion that no intervention of this commission is required for the purpose of furnishing the information and hence the prayer (a) becomes infructuous.
7. In view of the endorsement of the Appellant, I find no reasons to proceed with the other relief which are in penal natures.
8. The public authority concerned herein i.e Mapusa Police Station, at Mapusa-Goa is hereby directed to comply with section 4 of the RTI Act, 2005 within 6 months in case it is still not complied.

With the above direction, Proceedings stand closed.

Notify the parties.

Pronounced in the open court.

Authenticated copies of the Order should be given to the parties free of cost.

Aggrieved party if any may move against this order by way of a Writ Petition as no further Appeal is provided against this order under the Right to Information Act 2005.

Sd/-  
**(Ms.Pratima K. Vernekar)**  
State Information Commissioner  
Goa State Information Commission,  
Panaji-Goa

